Appendix B: Supplemental Tables by Chapter

Chapter 3

Table B3.1 Republican Vote in Presidential and Midterm Years by Location and Decade

1950s	Presidential Years				Midterm Years			
	Rural	Urban	R-U	N	Rural	Urban	R-U	N
President	45	57	-12	(379)	--	--	--	--
Senate	12	16	-4	(192)	24	[**8**]	+16	(71)
House	15	10	+5	(312)	13	14	-1	(140)
1960s	Rural	Urban	R-U	N	Rural	Urban	R-U	N
President	42	64	-22	(586)	--	--	--	--
Senate	25	41	-16	(409)	23	[**62**]	-39	(65)
House	20	41	-21	(564)	24	37	-13	(237)
1970s	Rural	Urban	R-U	N	Rural	Urban	R-U	N
President	68	63	+5	(568)	--	--	--	--
Senate	40	45	-4	(329)	46	40	+6	(376)
House	32	38	-6	(462)	29	34	-5	(499)
1980s	Rural	Urban	R-U	N	Rural	Urban	R-U	N
President	66	69	-3	(648)	--	--	--	--
Senate	44	46	-2	(394)	49	41	+8	(174)
House	34	51	-17	(566)	29	47	-18	(326)

Notes: Entries for "Rural" and "Urban" show the percentage of respondents voting Republican (two-party). There are no controls for whether a sub-presidential election was contested by both major parties (Democrat versus Republican). Number of cases shown in parentheses for each office by decade. Data are confined to white southerners and all data are weighted by the ANES variable VCF0009z. The place variable (VCF0111) for determining Urban is "Central cities" and "Suburban areas"; and Rural is "Rural, small towns, outlying and adjacent areas." "R-U" means the Rural percentage in the category minus the Urban percentage in the category. Data span 1952 to 1988. Two entries are in bold with brackets around them: Urban respondents voting for Senate in the 1950s and 1960s. The number of respondents is too low to have any confidence in the data as shown; 26 urban respondents voting for Senate in the 1950s and just 13 urban respondents voting for Senate in the 1960s.

Table B3.2 Distribution of Rural and Urban Respondents in House Districts by Contested Status

Contested	Rural	Urban	R-U	N
Rep Incumbent	30	70	-40	(500)
Open Seat	34	67	-33	(155)
Dem Incumbent	59	41	+18	(601)
All Districts	44	56	-12	(1,256)
Uncontested	Rural	Urban	R-U	N
Rep Incumbent	56	44	+12	(89)
Dem Incumbent	68	32	+36	(607)
All Districts	66	34	+32	(696)

Notes: Entries for "Rural" and "Urban" show the percentage of respondents for the type of House seat (e.g., a contested district with a Republican incumbent seeking reelection). Total respondents shown in parentheses for each type of House contest. "R-U" means the Rural percentage in the category minus the Urban percentage in the category. Data span 1980 to 1988. Data are confined to white southerners and all data are weighted by the ANES variable VCF0009z. "Rep" = Republican and "Dem" = Democrat. Every open seat in the dataset was contested and hence that is why the category is absent among the "Uncontested" House seats.

Table B3.3 Distribution of Rural and Urban Respondents across each Type of District

Contested	Rep Incumbent	Open Seat	Dem Incumbent	N
Rural	27	9	64	(557)
Urban	50	15	35	(699)
R-U	-23	-6	+29	(1,256)
Uncontested	Rep Incumbent	--	Dem Incumbent	N
Rural	11	--	89	(462)
Urban	17	--	83	(234)
R-U	-6	--	+6	(696)

Notes: Entries for "Rural" and "Urban" show the percentage of respondents for the type of House seat (e.g., a contested district with a Republican incumbent seeking reelection). Total respondents shown in parentheses for each row (Rural/Urban/R-U). "R-U" means the Rural percentage in the category minus the Urban percentage in the category. Data span 1980 to 1988. Data are confined to white southerners and all data are weighted by the ANES variable VCF0009z. "Rep" = Republican and "Dem" = Democrat. Every open seat in the dataset was contested and hence that is why the category is absent among the "Uncontested" House seats. Percentage distribution by district type for rural/urban sums to 100 percent.

Table B3.4 Distribution of Straight Ticket and Split Ticket Voting for President and House

1952-88	Same-RR	Split-RD	Same-DD	Split-DR
Rural	25	30	44	2
Urban	34	28	32	5
R-U	-9	+2	+12	-3
N	(512)	(536)	(721)	(51)
1980s (All Contests)	Same-RR	Split-RD	Same-DD	Split-DR
Rural	31	34	32	2
Urban	42	26	24	9
R-U	-9	+8	+8	-7
N	(200)	(166)	(154)	(29)
1980s (Contested)	Same-RR	Split-RD	Same-DD	Split-DR
Rural	42	25	29	4
Urban	49	22	20	9
R-U	-7	+3	+9	-5
N	(187)	(97)	(101)	(25)
1980s (Uncontested)	Same-RR	Split-RD	Same-DD	Split-DR
Rural	8	54	38	0
Urban	13	40	38	9
R-U	-5	+14	0	-9
N	(13)	(69)	(53)	(4)

Notes: Entries for "Rural" and "Urban" show the percentage of respondents for the type of voting (e.g., Republican for President and Democrat for House: "Split-RD"). "R" = Republican and "D" = Democratic; the letter that comes first is the vote for President and the second letter is the House vote. Total respondents shown in parentheses for each column (Rural/Urban/R-U). "R-U" means the Rural percentage in the category minus the Urban percentage in the category. Data span 1980 to 1988. Data are confined to white southerners and all data are weighted by the ANES variable VCF0009z. Percentage distribution by voting category for each row for rural/urban sums to 100 percent (barring rounding error).

Chapter 4

Table B4.1 County-Level Presidential Vote Model using STS Indicator, 1992-2016 [Figure 4.1]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
% White	.2299***	.0218
% STS	.3442***	.0386
Time	-.0501***	.0034
STS*White	-.5758***	.0467
STS*Time	-.0076	.0073
Time*White	.0978***	.0050
STS*White*Time	.1151***	.0099
Open Seat	.0107***	.0011
(R) Incumbent	.0308***	.0014
Constant	.4425***	.0268
N	7,994	
R^2	.919	

Notes: Entries are OLS regression coefficients weighted by total presidential vote cast in county.
Dependent Variable: Two-Party Republican Presidential Vote
County fixed effects included, but not shown.
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the reg procedure in Stata 14.

Table B4.2 County-Level Presidential Vote Model using Density Indicator, 1992-2016 [Figure 4.2]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
% White	-1.0734***	.0843
Logged Density	-.1401***	.0116
Time	-.1129***	.0107
Density*White	.1749***	.0128
Density*Time	.0106***	.0017
Time*White	.4065***	.0147
Density*White*Time	-.0469***	.0025
Open Seat	.0109***	.0011
(R) Incumbent	.0307***	.0013
Constant	1.3720***	.0767
N	7,994	
R^2	.924	

Notes: Entries are OLS regression coefficients weighted by total presidential vote cast in county.
Dependent Variable: Two-Party Republican Presidential Vote
County fixed effects included, but not shown.
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the reg procedure in Stata 14.

Table B4.3 CES Presidential Vote Model using STS Indicator, 2008-2016 [Figure 4.3]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
% STS	.3999***	.0589
Time	-.0090	.0179
STS*Time	.1009*	.0426
Constant	.2137***	.0256
N	22,602	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Republican Presidential Vote=1; Democratic Presidential Vote=0
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Table B4.4 CES Presidential Vote Model using Density Indicator, 2008-2016 [Figure 4.4]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
Logged Density	-.1187***	.0146
Time	.1979**	.0657
Density*Time	-.0291**	.0106
Constant	1.0378***	.0898
N	22,602	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Republican Presidential Vote=1; Democratic Presidential Vote=0
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Chapter 5

Table B5.1 County-Level U.S. Senate Vote Model using STS Indicator, 1992-2018 [Figure 5.3]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
% White	.5872***	.0337
% STS	.4450***	.0639
Time	-.0040	.0052
STS*White	-.5538***	.0755
STS*Time	-.0492***	.0108
Time*White	.0730***	.0072
STS*White*Time	.1066***	.0144
Open Seat	.0578***	.0021
(R) Incumbent	.1066***	.0021
Constant	.0082	.0415
N	10,614	
R^2	.778	

Notes: Entries are OLS regression coefficients weighted by total senate vote cast in county.
Dependent Variable: Two-Party Republican Senate Vote
County fixed effects included, but not shown.
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the reg procedure in Stata 14.

Table B5.2 County-Level U.S. Senate Vote Model using Density Indicator, 1992-2018 [Figure 5.4]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
% White	-.9988***	.1262
Logged Density	-.2213***	.0174
Time	-.1256***	.0162
Density*White	.2072***	.0193
Density*Time	.0189***	.0026
Time*White	.3387***	.0219
Density*White*Time	-.0409***	.0037
Open Seat	.0565***	.0020
(R) Incumbent	.1055***	.0021
Constant	1.4907***	.1150
N	10,614	
R^2	.785	

Notes: Entries are OLS regression coefficients weighted by total senate vote cast in county.
Dependent Variable: Two-Party Republican Senate Vote
County fixed effects included, but not shown.
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the reg procedure in Stata 14.

Table B5.3 CES Senate Vote Model using STS Indicator, 2008-2016 [Figure 5.5]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
% STS	.2194***	.0499
Time	.2004***	.0273
STS*Time	.2280***	.0625
(R) Incumbent	.1374***	.0222
Open Seat	.0003***	.00003
AR	-.9055***	.0790
FL	-.0230	.0305
GA	.2847***	.0439
NC	-.7443***	.0604
SC	-.3299***	.0709
TN	.1307**	.0430
TX	.1430***	.0329
Constant	-.0347	.0326
N	33,827	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Republican Senate Vote=1; Democratic Senate Vote=0
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Table B5.4 CES Senate Vote Model using Density Indicator, 2008-2016 [Figure 5.6]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
Logged Density	-.0729***	.0126
Time	.7416***	.0971
Density*Time	-.0787***	.0156
(R) Incumbent	.1244***	.0223
Open Seat	.0003***	.00003
AR	-.9386***	.0789
FL	-.0659*	.0303
GA	.2934***	.0443
NC	-.6791***	.0606
SC	-.3237***	.0707
TN	.1124**	.0432
TX	.1359***	.0330
Constant	.4918***	.0808
N	33,827	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Republican Senate Vote=1; Democratic Senate Vote=0
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Chapter 6

Table B6.1 County-Level Gubernatorial Vote Model using STS Indicator, 1992-2018 [Figure 6.3]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
% White	-.1314***	.0345
% STS	.1550*	.0650
Time	-.0966***	.0054
STS*White	-.4066***	.0769
STS*Time	.0448***	.0108
Time*White	.1180***	.0076
STS*White*Time	.0278†	.0146
Open Seat	.0361***	.0023
(R) Incumbent	.0730***	.0025
Constant	.7358***	.0438
N	9,049	
R^2	.746	

Notes: Entries are OLS regression coefficients weighted by total gubernatorial vote cast in county.
Dependent Variable: Two-Party Republican Gubernatorial Vote
County fixed effects included, but not shown.
†p<.10; *p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the reg procedure in Stata 14.

Table B6.2 County-Level U.S. Gibernatorial Vote Model using Density Indicator, 1992-2018 [Figure 6.4]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
% White	-.6790***	.1295
Logged Density	-.0073	.0179
Time	-.0309	.0163
Density*White	.0760***	.0199
Density*Time	-.0088***	.0027
Time*White	.2121***	.0222
Density*White*Time	-.0148***	.0038
Open Seat	.0377***	.0023
(R) Incumbent	.0747***	.0025
Constant	.8216***	.1186
N	9,049	
R^2	.748	

Notes: Entries are OLS regression coefficients weighted by total gubernatorial vote cast in county.
Dependent Variable: Two-Party Republican Gubernatorial Vote
County fixed effects included, but not shown.
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the reg procedure in Stata 14.

Table B6.3 CES Gubernatorial Vote Model using STS Indicator, 2006-2018 [Figure 6.5]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
% STS	.0195	.0697
Time	.1048[**]	.0336
STS*Time	.4345[***]	.0800
(R) Incumbent	-.0532[***]	.0126
Open Seat	.0525[***]	.0126
AR	-.5860[***]	.0751
FL	-.4849[***]	.0574
GA	-.1276[*]	.0616
NC	-.4782[***]	.0614
SC	-.2071[**]	.0720
TN	-.3503[***]	.0652
TX	-.2396[***]	.0561
Constant	.5214[***]	.0601
N	22,226	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Republican Gubernatorial Vote=1; Democratic Gubernatorial Vote=0
[*]p<.05; [**]p<.01; [***]p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Table B6.4 CES Gubernatorial Vote Model using Density Indicator, 2006-2018 [Figure 6.6]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
Logged Density	-.0159	.0180277
Time	.9397***	.1254298
Density*Time	-.1190***	.0204853
(R) Incumbent	-.0557***	.0126307
Open Seat	.0550***	.0126266
AR	-.6055***	.0748544
FL	-.4800***	.0575846
GA	-.0785	.0621915
NC	-.4332***	.061471
SC	-.2079**	.0715105
TN	-.3182***	.0649466
TX	-.2030***	.0566074
Constant	.5981***	.1153786
N	22,226	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Republican Gubernatorial Vote=1; Democratic Gubernatorial Vote=0
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Chapter 7

Table B7.1 District-Level U.S. House Vote Model using Rural Indicator, 1992-2018 [Figure 7.6]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
% White	.2377***	.0350
% Rural	-.2522**	.0960
Time	-.0409***	.0094
Rural*White	.2741*	.1252
Rural*Time	.0652**	.0231
Time*White	.0600***	.0156
Rural*White*Time	.0144	.0155
Open Seat	.0982***	.0066
(R) Incumbent	.1792***	.0061
Constant	.3221***	.0347
N	1,426	
R^2	.839	

Notes: Entries are OLS regression coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Two-Party Republican House Vote
District fixed effects included, but not shown.
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the reg procedure in Stata 14.

Table B7.2 District-Level U.S. House Vote Model using Density Indicator, 1992-2018 [Figure 7.7]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
Logged Density	.0202	.0110
% White	.3660[***]	.0992
Time	.0245	.0166
Density*White	-.0154	.0163
Density*Time	-.0090[***]	.0024
Time*White	.0928[***]	.0170
Density*White*Time	-.0023[*]	.0011
Open Seat	.0982[***]	.0065
(R) Incumbent	.1793[***]	.0061
Constant	.1586[*]	.0724
N	1,426	
R^2	.834	

Notes: Entries are OLS regression coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Two-Party Republican House Vote
District fixed effects included, but not shown.
[*]p<.05; [**]p<.01; [***]p<.001
Model estimated using the reg procedure in Stata 14.

Table B7.3 CES House Vote Model using Rural Indicator, 2006-2018 [Figure 7.8]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
% Rural	.1407	.1593
Time	.0801	.0522
Rural*Time	.3418†	.1756
(R) Incumbent	.3990***	.0377
Open Seat	.2889***	.0483
Constant	-.0702	.0544
N	42,625	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Republican House Vote=1; Democratic House Vote=0
†p<.10; *p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Table B7.4 CES House Vote Model using Density Indicator, 2006-2018 [Figure 7.9]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
Logged Density	-.0436[*]	.0219
Time	.4961[***]	.1398
Density*Time	-.0589[*]	.0239
(R) Incumbent	.3704[***]	.0364
Open Seat	.2664[***]	.0470
Constant	.2280[†]	.1330
N	42,625	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients.
Dependent Variable: Republican House Vote=1; Democratic House Vote=0
[†]p<.10; [*]p<.05; [**]p<.01; [***]p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Chapter 8

Table B8.1 CES Republican Partisanship Model using STS Indicator, 2006-2018 [Figure 8.8]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
% STS	.0938	.0511
Time	.0185	.0195
STS*Time	.1324[**]	.0439
Constant	.0209	.0305
N	72,393	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients with robust standard errors.
Dependent Variable: Republican Partisan (including leaners)=1; Democrat/Independent=0
[*]p<.05; [**]p<.01; [***]p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Table B8.2 CES Republican Partisanship Model using Density Indicator, 2006-2018 [Figure 8.9]

Variable	Coefficient	Robust S.E.
% STS	-.0375***	.0142
Time	.2973***	.0670
STS*Time	-.0400***	.0108
Constant	.2706***	.0774
N	72,393	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients with robust standard errors.
Dependent Variable: Republican Partisan (including leaners)=1; Democrat/Independent=0
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Chapter 10

Table B10.1 Correlates Related to the Likelihood of Being STS [Table 10.7; Figure 10.1]

Variable	Coefficient	S.E.
Education	-0.2587***	0.0455
Income	-0.0782**	0.0302
Age	0.0086*	0.0038
Native	0.1914*	0.0920
Evangelical	0.2203*	0.0979
Gender	-0.0660	0.1182
Gun Owner	0.5186***	0.0990
Ideology	0.0303	0.0380
Party ID	-0.0207	0.0247
AL	1.3294***	0.3662
AR	1.7467***	0.4015
GA	1.7869***	0.3990
LA	0.9455*	0.4231
MS	2.9232***	0.4400
NC	1.1984***	0.3462
SC	1.1035**	0.3960
TN	1.1734***	0.3446
TX	0.5458	0.2993
VA	0.8027*	0.3258
Constant	-1.0993*	0.4478
N	2,580	

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients with robust standard errors clustered by county.
Dependent Variable: Small Town South Resident=1; Urban Resident=0
*p<.05; **p<.01; ***p<.001
Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14.

Table B10.2 The Effect of Place on Political Issues [Table 10.8; Figure 10.2]

Variable	Gun Control	Assault Weapons Ban	Reparations	Racial Resentment Scale	Abortion	Confederate Flag	Confederate Monuments	Border Wall
Small-Town South	.4364***	.2142*	.0782	.6724**	.2682**	.1713*	.0927	.3748***
	(.1100)	(.1167)	(.1041)	(.2620)	(.1225)	(.0929)	(.1074)	(.1140)
Education	-.0023	-.0271	.0370	-.6057***	-.1023**	-.2422***	-.1866***	-.1633***
	(.0465)	(.0458)	(.0504)	(.1220)	(.0503)	(.0446)	(.0429)	(.0466)
Income	.0078	.0137	.0185	-.1050	-.0375	-.0338	.0340	.0247
	(.0361)	(.0326)	(.0303)	(.0828)	(.0370)	(.0298)	(.0292)	(.0364)
Age	-.0072	-.0123***	.0129***	.0377***	-.0077**	.0131***	.0115***	.0160***
	(.0044)	(.0036)	(.0032)	(.0079)	(.0036)	(.0031)	(.0035)	(.0040)
Gender	-.1451	-.2707***	-.4218***	.1528	.2055*	.1483	-.1389	-.1025
	(.1124)	(.1069)	(.0937)	(.2338)	(.1174)	(.0957)	(.0945)	(.1143)
Gun Owner	.4740***	.5464***	.2171**	.9163***	-.1398	.2446**	.1294	.2468**
	(.1019)	(.0993)	(.0951)	(.2623)	(.1023)	(.0984)	(.0962)	(.1100)
Ideology	.1668***	.1205***	.1782***	.8429***	.1071	.2041***	0993***	.2222***
	(.0454)	(.0416)	(.0347)	(.0923)	(.0527)**	(.0379)	(.0360)	(.0475)
Party Identification	.2302***	.1913***	.0742***	.5587**	.1567	.1769***	.1016***	.2394***
	(.0338)	(.0263)	(.0269)	(.0683)	(.0349)***	(.0275)	(.0241)	(.0328)
Native Southerner	-.0321	.0103	-.0841	.3160	.1038	.1953**	.1803**	.2944***
	(.1038)	(.1026)	(.0985)	(.2967)	(.1196)	(.0958)	(.0921)	(.1089)
Evangelical	-.0023	.0396	-.1207	.1887	.5676***	-.1857*	.0886	.2012*
	(.1109)	(.1141)	(.0916)	(.2656)	(.1007)**	(.0979)	(.0945)	(.1100)
AL	.0325	-.0107	.0820	-1.3277**	.5237	-.2540	-.3365*	-.6657***
	(.2269)	(.2402)	(.2083)	(.5756)**	(.2176)	(.2298)	(.1992)	(.2239)
AR	.3103	.1060	.1931	-1.4639	-.0023	.1200	-.0845	-.8663***
	(.2400)	(.2595)	(.2483)	(.6628)	(.2391)	(.2317)	(.2846)	(.2250)
GA	-.3131	-.2238	-.1602	-1.4310***	.5619***	-.2829	.0580	-.4443**
	(.2056)	(.1906)	(.2077)	(.5464)	(.2129)	(.1760)	(.1685)	(.2012)
LA	-.3683	-.1411	.6908***	-.9081	.3529	.1982	.2566	-.4085
	(.2873)	(.2716)	(.2422)	(.8383)	(.2618)	(.2590)	(.2785)	(.3081)
MS	-.1800	.02639	.5963*	-1.6056***	.1253	.2077	-.2855	-1.171***
	(.3377)	(.3293)	(.3031)	(.5757)	(.3153)	(.2637)	(.3489)	(.3527)

NC	-.1846	-.3100	.1276	-1.1852***	.1352	-.1561	-.1125	-.6761***
	(.2177)	(.2230)	(.1802)	(.4511)	(.2440)	(.1606)	(.2073)	(.1622)
SC	-.0183	-.1784	.2200	-1.2523**	.4502*	-.4031	-.1045	-.7104***
	(.2817)	(.2403)	(.2291)	(.6104)	(.2474)	(.2705)	(.1752)	(.2393)
TN	-.0883	-.0541	.0861	-1.6750***	.2099	-.2160	-.2864	-.7634***
	(.1698)	(.1901)	(.1742)	(.5212)	(.2216)	(.1577)	(.1805)	(.2193)
TX	-.0149	-.0345	.2537	-1.3391***	.0688	-.1441	-.1291	-.5279***
	(.1667)	(.1642)	(.1601)	(.3677)	(.1895)	(.1385)	(.1397)	(.1371)
VA	.0804	-.1065	.4873	-1.1954***	.0197	-.1034	-.1763	-.6025***
	(.1892)	(.2062)	(.2441)	(.4505)	(.2279)	(.1852)	(.1826)	(.2138)
Constant	-1.9323***	-1.2183***	-1.2395***	5.0394	-2.2129***	-2.0410***	-1.1666***	-2.493***
	(.4038)	(.3771)	(.3764)	(.9250)***	(.4702)	(.3210)	(.3775)	(.4056)
N	2,306	2,340	2,580	2,372	2,458	2,427	2,499	2,544

Notes: Entries are probit coefficients with robust standard errors clustered by county in parentheses with the exception of entries for Racial Resentment scale which are OLS regression coefficients and associated standard errors.

Dependent Variables: Gun Control (Oppose stricter gun control); Assault Weapons Ban (Oppose banning assault weapons); Reparations (Bad idea); Racial Resentment Scale (Ranges from 0-20 with increasing values indicative of higher levels of racial resentment); Abortion (Should always be illegal); Confederate Flag (Symbol of southern heritage/pride); Confederate Monuments (Leave them as they are); Border Wall (Favor building it)

*$p <.10$; **$p <.05$; ***$p <.01$

Model estimated using the probit procedure in Stata 14 with the exception of Racial Resentment which was estimated using OLS (reg procedure).